MAXIM TECHNOLOGIES, NC./TWIN CITY TESTING 662 CromwellAvenue St. Paul, Minnesota 55114

BODY INTERFACE PRESSURE POINT TESTING CONDUCTED ON TWO WHEELCHAIR CUSHIDNS

Prepared for: ACTION PRODUCTS, INC.

The test results contained in this report pertain only to the samples submitted for testing and not necessarily to all similar products.

BODY INTERFACE PRESSURE PO INT TEST

INTRODUCTION:

This report documents the results of interface pressure point testing conducted on two wheelchair cushions submitted by Action Products, Inc. This work was requested by Mr. Michael Bredalof Action Products on May 14, 1997 with the testing conducted June 5-10, 1997.

TEST RESULTS SUMMARY:

Average Pressure (mm Hg)-AllSubjects (3) (Standard Deviation Values in Parenthesis)

Position	Action Products	Jay2
Right Ischial Tuberosity	37 (10.0)	45 (71)
LeftIschialTuberosity	42 (9.0)	48 (8.3)
SacralProminence (Coccyx)	35 (13.0)	47 (16.0)
R ight Thigh	20 (7.6)	19 (9.0)
LeftThigh	18 (6.0)	18 (8.0)

It is the policy of Maxim Technologies, Inc./Twin C ity Testing to use recognized testprocedures whenever possible, such as ASTM, ANSI, ISO etc. To Maxim & knowledge, no standard procedure exists for interface body contact pressure testing at the present time. The testm ethod employed for this analysis is based on sound aboratory practice. Precautions were employed to position the sensor correctly in each case. The pressure monitor was calibrated before and after each series of m easurem ents.

SAMPLE DENTIFICATION:

O ne Action Products wheekhair cushion and one Jay 2 wheekhair cushion were submitted for testing. Both cushions were comprised of a multi-hyered contoured ethafoam base. Each incorporated a unique "gel" system that was vebroed to the base. Two pads of each type were submitted to accommodate different weight ranges. Breathable elastic material was used to cover the cushions. The physical properties of the specimens are listed bebw:

Action Products	Lightweight: $16 \times 16 \times 5, 5.83$ bs.			
	Heavyweight: $16 \times 17 1/2 \times 5$, 6.98 bs.			

Jay 2: Lightweight: 16" x 16" x 3", 5.67 bs. Heavyweight: 16" x 18" x 3 1/2", 6.04 bs.

A Ventura theradyne whee bhair with a 16" x 18" collapsible seating area was used in conjunction with the above cushions.

TEST PROCEDURE:

A Taley Oxford Pressure Monitor Model MKII was used for this analysis. The cushions were placed on the seating area of the Ventura Theradyne wheekhair. The subjects were dressed in cotton sweatpants of appropriate size and allowed to acclimate into the cushion for a 4-5 m inute period.

A 4"x 5" sensorpad was placed in five different positions of the buttocks area (see TEST RESULTS for specific boations).

Three separate replications were obtained for each boation. Repositioning between the three replications conducted on each subject was also part of the test procedure.

It should be noted that no standard procedure exists at the present time that Maxim Technologies is aware of for conducting this type of test. Sound aboratory practice was incorporated to ensure repeatability and reliability. The Talley equipment was calibrated before the test procedure.

The subjects weight, height and genderare tabled bebw:

Subject	Sex	Height	Weight(bs)
1	F	5'7"	125
2	М	5'6"	165
3	М	6'1"	195

TEST RESULTS:

Average Pressure (mm Hg)-IndividualSubjects (Standard Deviation Values in Parentheses)

Action Products

	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Average	Std. Deviation
Right Ischial Tuberosity	27	40	43	37	(10.0)
Left Ischial Tuberosity	37	43	45	42	(9.0)
Sacral Prominence (Coccyx)	24	35	46	35	(13.0)
R ight Thigh	28	16	15	20	(7.6)
LeftThigh	23	15	16	18	(6.0)

Jay 2					
	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Average	Std. Deviation
Right Ischial Tuberosity	36	49	49	45	(71)
Left Ischial Tuberosity	38	52	55	48	(8.3)
Sacral Prominence (Coccyx)	30	54	56	47	(16.0)
R ight Thigh	28	12	15	19	(9.0)
LeftThigh	23	8	16	16	(0. 8)

Maximum Pressure (mm Hg) - Individual Subjects

Action Products					
	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Average	
Right Ischial Tuberosity	35	56	59	50	
Left Ischial Tuberosity	45	62	63	57	
SacralProminence (Coccyx)	40	50	59	50	
R ight Thigh	34	24	22	27	
LeftThigh	28	27	23	26	
Jay 2					
	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Average	
Right Ischial Tuberosity	40	63	56	53	
Left Ischial Tuberosity	44	62	66	57	
SacralProminence (Coccyx)	44	63	68	58	
R ight Thigh	34	28	21	28	
LeftThigh	29	17	23	23	

REMARKS:

The maximum interface pressure value was obtained from the 12 individualsensors and the results of all subjects averaged.

AS A MUTUAL PROTECTION TO CLIENTS, THE PUBLIC AND OURSELVES, ALL MAXIM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. REPORTS ARE SUBMITTED AS THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION OF CLIENTS AND AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLICATION OF STATEMENT, CONCLUSIONS OR EXTRACTIONS FROM OR REGARDING OUR REPORTS IS RESERVED PENDING OUR PRIDE WRITTEN APPROVAL.

ACTION and AKTON are registered tradem arks of Action Products, Inc.®

 $C \ opyright 2005, \ A \ cion \ Products, \ Inc.$ Any concerns, send e m ailto service@ actionproducts.com .